UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

NOTICE OF DOCUMENT DISCREPANCIES

		,		
2	•	Frank	-	

					08 APR	Clerk's Office File Stamp			
TO:	U. S. DISTI M. <u>J. Hinkle,</u>	RICT JUDGE / Deputy C	U. S. MAGISTRAT	TE JUDGE RECEIV	Judge William 'ED DATE:	OHayes 04/15/08 prayer			
CAS	E NO.: <u>07cr31</u>	109-WQH	DOCUMENT FILI	ED BY:	Atty Anthony	J Solare			
CAS	E TITLE: <u>USA</u>	v Nordfelt				***			
DOCUMENT ENTITLED: Dft's Request for Downward Departures & Sentencing Memorandum									
	Upon the su	bmission of the att	tached document(s),	the followin	g discrepancies a	re noted:			
✓ L	ocal Rule	Discrepancy							
	5.1	Missing time	and date on motion a	nd/or suppor	rting documentat	ion			
	5.3 Document illegible or submitted on thermal facsimile paper								
x :	5.4	Document no	t filed electronically	Notice of	Noncompliance	already issued.			
	7.1 or 47.1	Date noticed f	or hearing not in con	npliance wit	h rules/Documen	t(s) are not timely			
	7.1 or 47.1	Lacking mem	orandum of points an	d authorities	s in support as a	separate document			
	7.1 or 47.1 Briefs or memoranda exceed length restrictions								
	7.1	Missing table	of contents						
	15.1	Amended plea	ading not complete in	itself					
$\bar{\Box}$:	30.1	Depositions n	Depositions not accepted absent a court order						
		Supplemental documents require court order							
	Default Judgment in sum certain includes calculated interest								
X		OTHER: No proof of service							
				D	ate forwarded:	4/16/08			
	<u> </u>		THE JUDGE / MA	GISTRATI	E JUDGE				
IT IS	HEREBY ORD								
<u> </u>	The document i	s to be filed nunc	pro tunc to date recei	ved.					
	The document is NOT to be filed, but instead REJECTED. and it is ORDERED that the Clerk serve a copy of this order on all parties.								
	Rejected docum	ent to be returned	to pro se or inmate?	Yes.	Court Copy retain	ined by chambers			
	Counsel is advis to Local Rule 83			rith the Loca		to penalties pursuant			
	Dated: cc: All Parties	7/08	Ву:	PAN)	·			